

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 03/01/2002 10/087,457 0126-024P/FLS Marc Ian Bingley 6665 EXAMINER 22831 7590 12/23/2004 SCHWEITZER CORNMAN GROSS & BONDELL LLP PRINCE, FRED G 292 MADISON AVENUE - 19th FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10017 1724

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$-$ ( $\psi$
Office Action Summary			W
	10/087,457	BINGLEY, MARC IAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred Prince	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replied if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te. cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 I	November 2004.	•	
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-13 and 15-22</u> is/are pending in the	he annlication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ann nom consideration.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,11-13,15 and 16</u> is/are rejected	d.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-10 and 17-22</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	An have have seed to		
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li></ul>		eliantia e Na	
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>			
application from the International Burea		eceived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		Mail Date  prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/087,457

Art Unit: 1724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 4 recites the limitation "said sensing and control means" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 4-6, 11-13, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reid in view of Bringle.

Reid, directed toward a reactor and method of controlling an aerator, discloses a mixed reactor (40) with an aerator (42) intermittently controlled to raise a dissolved oxygen level for a predetermined time period for aerobic treatment, wherein a set point is maintained which is higher than a first target level (Fig. 2), first target level is reached and vary an oxygen level (col. 5, lines 65-68; col. 6, lines 1-2) and includes an

Art Unit: 1724

inoperable phase including a predetermined anoxic time period (col. 2, lines 12-21) with a lower dissolved oxygen level. Reid does not disclose varying the output during the aerobic time period to maintain a dissolved oxygen levels.

In any case, Bringle, also directed toward a reactor and method of controlling an aerator, discloses the well-known concept of varying the output during the aerobic time period to maintain a dissolved oxygen levels (col. 2, lines 4-9) in order to handle fluctuations in sewage characteristics (col. 1, lines 53-57).

It would have been readily obvious for the skilled artisan to have modified the reactor and method of Reid such that the reactor and method include means for varying the output during the aerobic time period to maintain a dissolved oxygen levels in order to handle fluctuations in sewage characteristics, as shown by Bringle.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by applicant's amendment.

Applicant argues that Reid does not teach varying the output of the aerator during an aerobic time period to maintain the dissolved oxygen level at a given set point.

However, it is noted that Bringle teaches the advantages of varying the output of the aerator during an aerobic time period to maintain the dissolved oxygen level at a given set point. Accordingly, it is the examiner's position that applicant's invention is not patentable over the combination of Reid and Bringle.

Art Unit: 1724

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 7-10 and 17-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

While claims 5 and 15 are not patentable for the reasons provided above, in the examiner's opinion, the prior art fails to teach or render obvious providing the recited overriding means in combination with applicant's invention.

### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (571) 272-1165. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt. Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1724

fgp 12/20/04